

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,421	03/23/2004	Hideaki Naruse	Q80646	2271
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 07/30/2007 ION. PLLC		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1774	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/806,421	NARUSE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Camie S. Thompson	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>May</u> 2 This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro-	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-20 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-20 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is provided in the correction of the correction in the correction in the correction of the correction in the corr	rn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/806,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed May 29, 2007 are acknowledged.

- 2. Examiner acknowledges amended claims 1 and 7.
- 3. Examiner acknowledges cancelled claims 5 and 6.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishikawa, U.S. Pre Grant Publication 2004/0058157 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4, 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sawai et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,638,645.

Sawai discloses a film for use in an organic EL device. The reference discloses a laminated film that comprises a substrate (base film) made from PET and a gas barrier layer made from organic inorganic hybrid materials (see column 2, lines 45-62). Figure 2 of the reference shows that the gas barrier layer is between two base material films. Also, Sawai discloses that the organic inorganic hybrid material layer has a thickness of 1 micron and that the substrate (base) layer has a thickness of 12 microns. Tables 1 and 2 of the reference disclose the oxygen permeability of

Application/Control Number: 10/806,421

Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

the organic inorganic hybrid layer that corresponds to the required oxygen permeability for the gas barrier layer of the present claims. The reference discloses in column 5, lines 1-10 that the organic inorganic hybrid layer comprises an alkoxy-group containing acrylic resin, which has a hydroxyl forming group. The Sawai reference does not disclose that the gas barrier layer is formed by the sol-gel method. Claim 1 is a product-by-process claim. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. See MPEP 2113. Both the reference and the present claims comprise a film for a display device wherein the film comprises a base material film and at least one gas barrier layer wherein the gas barrier layer is an organic inorganic hybrid layer. The manner in which the gas barrier layer is formed does not make it a different product from the recited claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawai et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,638,645 in view of Kotani et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,766,751.

Art Unit: 1774

Sawai discloses a film for use in an organic EL device. The reference discloses a laminated film that comprises a substrate (base film) made from PET and a gas barrier layer made from organic inorganic hybrid materials (see column 2, lines 45-62). Figure 2 of the reference shows that the gas barrier layer is between two base material films. Also, Sawai discloses that the organic inorganic hybrid material lay has a thickness of 1 micron and that the substrate (base) layer has a thickness of 12 microns. Tables 1 and 2 of the reference disclose the oxygen permeability of the organic inorganic hybrid layer that corresponds to the required oxygen permeability for the gas barrier layer of the present claims. The reference discloses in column 5, lines 1-10 that the organic inorganic hybrid layer comprises an alkoxy-group containing acrylic resin, which has a hydroxyl forming group. The Sawai reference does not disclose that the gas barrier layer is formed by the sol-gel method. Claim 1 is a product-by-process claim. Even though product-byprocess claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. See MPEP 2113. Both the reference and the present claims comprises a film for a display device wherein the film comprises a base material film and at least one gas barrier layer wherein the gas barrier layer is an organic inorganic hybrid layer. The manner in which the gas barrier layer is formed does not make it a different product from the recited claims. Sawai does not disclose that the base material comprises a layered compound. Kotani discloses a laminate film comprising a layer comprising a substance having a gas barrier property and at least one layer which is disposed on the gas barrier substance layer and comprises a resin

Art Unit: 1774

composition comprising a resin and an inorganic laminar (layered) compound (see column 3, lines 9-15). Additionally, the reference discloses that the base material (e.g. resin film) can be a resin such as a polyolefin-type resin such as polyethylene, ethylene-propylene copolymer or polyester-type resin. It is disclosed in column 19, lines 19-24 that the oxygen permeability measurement at 30 deg C, 60% RH was below 0.1 cc/m² day atm for the laminate. A layered compound has strong Van der Waals forces due to atoms being strongly bonded together. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the base film of the Sawai reference be a layered compound in order to obtain strong Van der Waals forces for increased gas barrier properties.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed May 29, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite that the organic inorganic hybrid layer is formed using a polymer having a hydrogen bond forming group. It is disclosed in Sawai in column 5 that the organic inorganic hybrid layer comprises an alkoxy-group containing acrylic resin, which has hydrogen bond forming groups. The Sawai rejection is maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,421 Page 6

Art Unit: 1774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER